PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

To:

FENSTER, Paul FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

IMPORTANT NOTICE

P. O. Box 10256 49002 Petach Tikva ISRAËL.

RECEIVED

G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD.

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 27 September 2007 (27.09.2007)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

470/05127

PCT/IL2006/000345

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year) 16 March 2006 (16.03.2006)

Priority date (day/month/year) 18 March 2005 (18.03.2005)

Appli cant

METACURE (USA) INC. et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Simin Baharlou

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

e-mail: pt09.pct@wipo.int

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 470/05127	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/IL2006/000345	International filing date (day/month/year) 16 March 2006 (16.03.2006)	Priority date (day/month/year) 18 March 2005 (18.03.2005)	
International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form F	n edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant METACURE (USA) INC.			

		and a secretability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Payrous on habilif of the		
1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	This report contains indication	s relating to the following items:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
4.	The International Bureau will not, except where the applican date (Rule 44bis .2).	communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but t makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		
		Date of issuance of this report 18 September 2007 (18.09.2007)		

Authorized officer

e-mail: pt09.pct@wipo.int

Simin Baharlou

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
To: PAUL FENSTER FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LTD.		PCT			
P.O. BOX 10256 PETACH TIKVA. ISRAEL 49002		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
			(PCT Rule 43bis,1)		
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	20 APR 2007		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 470/05127		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
International application No. Interna	itional filing date	(day month year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
	rch 2006 (16.03.20		18 March 2005 (18.03.2005)		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both n IPC: A61N 1/05(2006.01) USPC: 607/40 Applicant	ational classificati	on and IPC			
METACURE INC.					
1. This opinion contains indications relating to t	he following items	s:			
Box No. I Basis of the opinion	Box No. I Basis of the opinion				
Box No. II Priority	Box No. II Priority				
Box No. III Non-establishment of	of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inve	ntive step and industrial applicability		
Box No. IV Lack of unity of inve	ention				
Box No. V Reasoned statement applicability; citation	under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> , ns and explanation	I(a)(i) with regard t is supporting such s	o novelty, inventive step or industrial atement		
Box No. VI Certain documents of	ited				
Box No. VII Certain defects in the	e international app	fication			
Box No. VIII Certain observations	on the internation	al application			
International Preliminary Examining Autho	rity ("IPEA") exc and the chosen I	cept that this does PEA has notified the	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an in International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) ered.		
If this opinion is, as provided above, consider IPEA a written reply together, where approprion of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	iate, with amendn	nents, before the ex	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the piration of 3 months from the date of mailing whichever expires later.		
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA	A/220.				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US	Date of complete	on of this opinion	Authorized officer		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents	28 March 2007 (•	Carl Layno Sharm MReenery		

Telephone No. 571-272-2975

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IL06/00345

Box N	o. I Basis of this opinion		
1. With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
	the international application in the language in which it was filed		
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).		
2. With inven	2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
a.	type of material		
	a sequence listing		
	table(s) related to the sequence listing		
b.	format of material		
	on paper		
	in electronic form		
c,	time of filing/furnishing		
	contained in the international application as filed.		
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.		
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.		
4. Additi	onal comments:		
arm DCT/	ISA/237/Roy No. I) (April 2005)		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL06/00345

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rul applicability; citations and expl	e 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) anations supp	with regard to novelty, inventive step of orting such statement	r industrial
. Statement		_	
Novelty (N)		2-10, 13, 20, 22, 24 and 26-28 1, 1!, 12, 14-19, 21, 23 and 25	
Inventive step (IS)		NONE 1-28	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	I-28 NONE	YES
	Ctanns	NONE	NO
. Citations and explanations:			
lease See Continuation Sheet			
•			
•			

International application No. PCT/IIL06/00345

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Supplemental Box In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 1, 11, 12, 14-19, 21, 23 and 25 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Cates et al. (US 2004/0230273, hereinafter Cates). Cates discloses an implant device 200 for electrical stimulation of the body that includes a coating 420 which changes properties after being inserted into the body, such that less irritation is felt by the body (par. 0047). The lead is also inherently capable of being inserted into the pancreas, which is viewed as functional language found in the claims.

Regarding claim 11. Cates discloses the coating (read as a sleeve) surrounds the electrode, while leaving it electrically active (par 0008).

Regarding claims 12 and 14, once the lead is inserted in the pancreas, it will inherently have a better mechanical coupling since it is in contact with the pancreas. Furthermore, as more and more of the sleeve is inserted into the pancreas, the sleeve/coating is considered to "expand."

Regarding claims 15, 16 and 25, the ability of the sleeve/coating to be glued, become less coupled to the pancreas, and hold at least 100 microcoulombs of ions is considered functional language that the lead of Cates is inherently capable of performing.

Regarding claim 18, Cates discloses that the device may have mechanical memory such that it becomes flexible and takes a predetermined position once it has been inserted in the body (par. 0030).

Regarding claim 23, the lead of Cates is considered to be sufficiently long and flexible to exert a weak force on the body.

Claims 13, 22, 24, 26 and 27 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Cates. Cates, as described above, discloses the applicant's basic invention with the exception of including tines and coupling to the body with a resistance of 20 Ohms. It is notoriously old and well known in the art to include tines on an implantation device in order to ensure adequate fixation at the implantation site. Furthermore, upon reviewing the specification of the current application, the examiner can find no disclosure that having the resistance of the coupling be less than 20 Ohms; it is merely given as an example. Since Cates will inherently couple to the body with a resistance, and would perform equally well as the implant device in the current application based on the fact that the applicant has not disclosed that the 20 Ohm threshold is for any particular purpose, the coupling resistance is considered an obvious

Claims 2-10 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Cates in view of Osypka (US 2005/0033396). Cates, Form PCT/ISA/237 (Supplemental Box) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL06/00345

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

as described above, discloses the applicant's basic invention with the exception of utilizing an inserting element with the implant device. Attention is directed to the secondary reference of Osypka, which discloses an electrode 2 with stiffening elements 7 and 9 that extend through the electrode. Furthermore, there is a knot that helps secure the thread 9 to the device (see figure 7 and claim 41) that once cut would free the electrode. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the implant device of Cates using the introduction structure and techniques of Osypka since this was a known structure and method for inserting electrodes into the body, and would allow for multiple electrodes to be inserted simultaneously.

Claim 20 tacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Cates in view of Machek et al. (US 5,954,761, hereinafter Machek). Cates, as described above, discloses the applicant's basic invention with the exception of using sugar as the conting. Attention is directed to the secondary reference of Machek, which discloses the use of sugar as a dissolvable coating on an implantable device (see col. 5, lines 38-57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the lead of Cates to include the sugar coating of Machek since it is a biocaompatible material that is an art-recognized equivalent for implantable coatings.

Claim 28 tacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Cates in view of Harel et al. (WO 2004/021858, hereinafter Harel). Cates, as described above, discloses the applicant's basic invention with the exception of explicitly teaching inserting the lead into the pancreas. Attention is directed to the secondary reference of Harel, which discloses inserting an electrode in the pancreas (page, 50, lines 25-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the method of Cates by inserting the electrode into the pancreas as taught by Harel in order to blood glucose levels.

Claims 1-28 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.